

Definable sets in finite structures

Dugald Macpherson

University of Leeds

June 17, 2019

(joint work with Sylvy Anscombe, Charles Steinhorn, Daniel Wolf)

CDM Theorem

Theorem. [Chatzidakis, van den Dries and Macintyre 1992]

Let $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_m)$ be a formula in the language of rings. Then there is a positive constant C and finitely many pairs (d_i, μ_i) ($1 \leq i \leq K$), with $d_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ and $\mu_i \in \mathbb{Q}^{>0}$ a positive rational number such that: for each finite field \mathbb{F}_q , where q is a prime power, and each $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$, if the set $\varphi(\mathbb{F}_q^n, \bar{a})$ is nonempty, then

$$\left| |\varphi(\mathbb{F}_q^n, \bar{a})| - \mu_i q^{d_i} \right| < C q^{d_i - (1/2)}$$

for some $i \leq K$.

Moreover, for each pair (d_i, μ_i) , there is a formula $\psi_i(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ in the language of rings such that $\psi_i(\mathbb{F}_q^m)$ consists of those $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$ for which the corresponding inequality with (d_i, μ_i) holds.

Proof uses Lang-Weil estimates + Ax's work on model theory of finite fields.

Asymptotic classes

The CDM theorem was turned into a **definition** of an abstract model-theoretic framework in work of M+Steinhorn, Elwes, Ryten,...

A **1-dimensional asymptotic class** is essentially a class of finite structures satisfying the conclusion of the theorem (e.g. class of finite fields).

Elwes (2007): notion of N -dimensional asymptotic class.

Ryten (PhD thesis, Leeds 2007): For any fixed Lie type τ , the class of all finite simple groups of type τ is an asymptotic class.

Asymptotic classes

So, e.g. the collection $\mathcal{C} = \{\mathrm{PSL}_2(q) : q \text{ prime power}\}$ is a 3-dim asymp. class; thus if $w(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is any word, we can let $\phi(\bar{x}, y)$ be the formula $w(x_1, \dots, x_n) = y$ and get an asymptotic uniformity for the cardinalities of sets $\{\bar{x} \in G^n : w(\bar{x}) = a\}$ for $G \in \mathcal{C}$ and $a \in G$.

Pillay, Starchenko: Tao's 'Algebraic Regularity Lemma' holds for graphs uniformly definable in an asymptotic class.

Corresponding notion of **measurable** infinite structure (M + Steinhorn) – e.g. pseudofinite fields: measurable implies supersimple, finite SU rank

Fact: Any ultraproduct of an asymptotic class is measurable.

Goal: broaden this framework, e.g.

- allow parts of the structure (sorts? coordinatising geometries?) to vary independently,
- not require that ultraproducts have finite rank, or even have simple theory,
- not be specific about the form of the functions giving approximate cardinalities (no longer just of form μq^d).

Possible examples to keep in mind:

- Pairs (V, \mathbb{F}_q) (2-sorted language), V a finite-dim vector space over \mathbb{F}_q ;
- Disjoint unions of complete graphs all of same size (n copies of K_m);
- Finite abelian groups;
- Finite graphs of bounded degree.

Notation

Given class \mathcal{C} of finite \mathcal{L} -structures and tuple \bar{y} of variables, let (\mathcal{C}, \bar{y}) be the set

$$\{(M, \bar{a}) \mid M \in \mathcal{C}, \bar{a} \in M^{|\bar{y}|}\}$$

of pairs consisting of a structure in \mathcal{C} and a \bar{y} -tuple from that structure ('pointed structures in \mathcal{C} ').

A finite partition Φ of (\mathcal{C}, \bar{y}) (i.e. partition into finitely many parts) is **\emptyset -definable** if for each $P \in \Phi$ there exists an \mathcal{L} -formula $\phi_P(\bar{y})$ without parameters such that for any $M \in \mathcal{C}$ and \bar{a} in M , the following are equivalent:

- (i) $(M, \bar{a}) \in P$
- (ii) $M \models \phi_P(\bar{a})$.

Definition of R -m.a.c.

Let R be any set of functions $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. A class \mathcal{C} of finite \mathcal{L} -structures is an **R -multidimensional asymptotic class (R -m.a.c.)** if for every formula $\phi(\bar{x}; \bar{y})$ there is a finite \emptyset -definable partition Φ of (\mathcal{C}, \bar{y}) and a set $H_\Phi := \{h_P : P \in \Phi\} \subset R$ such that for each $P \in \Phi$,

$$|\phi(\bar{x}; \bar{a})| - h_P(M) = o(h_P(M)) \quad (1)$$

for $(M, \bar{a}) \in P$ as $|M| \rightarrow \infty$.

R -m.e.c. (multidimensional **exact** class) if above we have

$$|\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{b})| = h_P(M).$$

Weak R -m.a.c. (or R -m.e.c.) – drop the definability clause on the partition Φ .

Observations

1. An asymptotic class is a m.a.c., where pairs (d, μ) are replaced by functions $M \mapsto \mu|M|^d$.
2. To prove a class \mathcal{C} is an R -m.a.c. or R -m.e.c it suffices to work with formulas $\phi(x, \bar{y})$ (with x a single variable), replacing R by the ring generated by R . (Fibering argument, using definability.)
3. (Wolf) If \mathcal{C} is a m.a.c. or m.e.c. then so is any class of finite structures uniformly bi-interpretable with \mathcal{C} . (Note: These conditions are not closed under uniform interpretability, as the definability clause may be lost.)
4. Any class uniformly interpretable in a m.a.c. is a weak m.a.c.; likewise for m.e.c.s.
5. Notion of **polynomial m.a.c** – here the functions in R are polynomials in the cardinalities of certain uniformly definable sets in M .

Examples of m.a.c.s

1. (Garcia, M, Steinhorn) Class \mathcal{C} of 2-sorted structures (V, \mathbb{F}_q) , with V finite dim. v.s. over \mathbb{F}_q . Given $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ there is a finite set E_ϕ of polynomials $g(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{F})$ over \mathbb{Q} such that if $M = (V, F)$ then each $h_P(M)$ has form $g(|V|, |F|)$ for some $g \in E_\phi$. Ultraproducts of \mathcal{C} are supersimple, but the V -sort may have rank ω .

2. More generally, fix a quiver Q (digraph) of *finite representation type* (Dynkin diagrams A_n, D_n, E_q, E_7, E_8). Over a field F , this has a finite-dimensional *path algebra* FQ , which has finitely many isomorphism types of indecomposable representations. Let

$$\mathcal{C}_Q := \{(V, FQ, F) : F \text{ finite field}, V \text{ finite module for } FQ\}$$

(3-sorted, with the natural language). Then \mathcal{C}_Q is a polynomial R -m.a.c. with the functions h_P given by polynomials $g(F, W_1, \dots, W_t)$, where the W_i variables correspond to the indecomposables.

Examples

3. (Bello Aguirre) In the language of rings, for fixed $d \in \mathbb{N}$, let \mathcal{C}_d be the collection of all finite residue rings $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, where n is a product of powers of at most d primes, each with exponent at most d .

Then \mathcal{C}_d is a weak m.a.c., and a (polynomial) m.a.c. after appropriate expansion by unary predicates. If just one prime is involved, this is an asymptotic class. e.g. $\{\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} : p \text{ prime}\}$ is a 2-dim asymptotic class. Ultraproducts are supersimple of finite SU-rank.

(Idea: $\mathbb{Z}/p^d\mathbb{Z}$ is coordinatised uniformly by $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.)

4. There is no weak m.e.c. consisting of infinitely many finite fields (consider elliptic curves).

Generalised measurable structures

Let $(S, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$ be a (commutative) ordered semiring (so $(S, +, 0)$, $(S, \cdot, 1)$ are commutative monoids, least element 0, etc.). Define \sim on S with $a \sim b$ iff $a \leq b \leq na$ or $b \leq a \leq nb$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $D := S / \sim$, and $d : S \rightarrow D$ the natural ‘dimension’ map. Say S is a **measuring semiring** if

$$\forall x, y, z \in S ((x < y \wedge d(y) = d(z)) \rightarrow x + z < y + z).$$

Let S be a measuring semiring and let M be an L -structure. We say that M is **S -measurable** if there is a function $h : \text{Def}(M) \rightarrow S$ such that

- 1 *finite sets* $h(X) = |X|$ for finite X ;
- 2 *finite additivity* h is finitely additive;
- 3 *mac condition* for each \emptyset -definable family \mathcal{X} there is finite $F \subseteq S$ such that $h(\mathcal{X}) = F$ and for each $f \in F$, $h^{-1}(f)$ is a \emptyset -definable family;
- 4 *Fubini* Suppose $p : X \rightarrow Y$ is a definable function for which there exists $f \in S$ such that for all $\bar{a} \in Y$, $h(p^{-1}(\bar{a})) = f$; then we have $h(X) = f \cdot h(Y)$.

Generalised measurable structures

Weakly generalised measurable: in (3) above omit assumption that each $h^{-1}(f)$ is \emptyset -definable.

Proposition. Let M be weakly generalised measurable. Then

- (i) M does not have the strict order property, i.e. there is no definable partial order with an infinite totally ordered subset;
- (ii) M is **functionally unimodular**, that is, if $f_i : A \rightarrow B$ (for $i = 1, 2$) are definable surjections with f_i k_i -to-1, then $k_1 = k_2$.

Generalised measurable structures

Proposition. Let M be S -measurable, and let

$S_0 := \{h(X) : X \subseteq M \text{ definable.}\}$.

If $d(S_0) = S_0 / \sim$ is well-ordered then M is supersimple.

Sketch Proof. Forking ensures drop in dimension.

Example (Anscombe). If M is a Fraïssé limit of a free amalgamation class then M is generalised measurable (note for example the generic triangle-free graph is such a Fraïssé limit and has TP1 and TP2 theory).

Generalised measurable structures

Proposition.

1. If \mathcal{C} is a m.a.c. then any ultraproduct is generalised measurable (so NSOP, etc.)
2. If \mathcal{C} is a m.e.c. then any ultraproduct is S -measurable for some ordered **ring** S .

Note. The above supersimplicity result applies to ultraproducts of examples like

$$\{(V, \mathbb{F}_q) : q \text{ prime power}, V \text{ finite dim. over } \mathbb{F}_q\}$$

and the quiver example, where the defining functions are given by polynomials in several variables, so the corresponding set of dimensions is well-ordered as they are polynomial degrees.

Examples of m.e.c.s

1. (Essentially by Pillay) Let M be any pseudofinite strongly minimal set. Then there is an R -m.e.c. \mathcal{C} whose infinite ultraproducts are all elementarily equivalent to M , with the functions in R given as polynomials (over \mathbb{Z}) in the cardinalities of the members of \mathcal{C} .
2. (Wolf, based on Cherlin-Hrushovski) For a fixed language L and $d \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{C}_{L,d}$ be the collection of all finite L -structures with at most d 4-types (i.e. orbits of the automorphism group on quadruples). Then $\mathcal{C}_{L,d}$ is a m.e.c (functions determining cardinalities are given by polynomials in the coordinatising Lie geometries).
3. For any fixed d , the class \mathcal{C}_d of finite graphs of degree at most d is a m.e.c.

Examples of m.e.c.s – groups

4. (help from Kestner) The class of all finite abelian groups is a m.e.c. (in fact, for any fixed finite ring R , this holds for the class of all finite R -modules).

Proposition. If \mathcal{C} is a m.e.c. of groups, then there is $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the groups in \mathcal{C} have (uniformly definable) soluble radical $R(G)$ of index at most d , and $R(G)/F(G)$ has derived length at most d (here $F(G)$ is the largest nilpotent normal subgroup of G).

Problem. Find a m.e.c. with an ultraproduct with non-simple theory.

Homogeneous structures as limits of m.e.c.s

Proposition. If M is a stable homogeneous structure (in Fraïssé's sense) over a finite relational language, then there is a m.e.c with ultraproduct elementarily equivalent to M .

Proof. Lachlan's structure theory for stable homogeneous structures + Wolf's result above.

Remark. Let q be a prime power with $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

The **Paley graph** P_q has vertex set the field \mathbb{F}_q , with a adjacent to b if and only if $a - b$ is a square. The Paley graphs form a m.a.c (but not m.e.c) with limit the random graph, which is homogeneous but unstable.

Theorem. Let M be any of the following homogeneous structures. Then there is no m.e.c. with an ultraproduct elementarily equivalent to M .

- (i) Any unstable homogeneous graph.
- (ii) Any homogeneous tournament.
- (iii) The digraph P_n for each $n \geq 3$ (universal subject to omitting an independent set I_n).
- (iii) The generic bipartite graph.

Proof

of (ii) above, that the universal homogeneous tournament is not a limit of a m.e.c..

For a contradiction, consider a m.e.c. \mathcal{C} of finite tournaments with ultraproduct \equiv the random tournament.

1. Any finite regular tournament has indegree equal to outdegree, so has an odd number of vertices.
2. For any formula $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, in a large enough finite tournament M the cardinality $|\phi(M, \bar{a})|$ depends just on the isomorphism type of \bar{a} (uses QE, + definability clause of m.e.c.).
3. Any large enough $M \in \mathcal{C}$ is regular.
4. If $M \in \mathcal{C}$ is large enough finite and a, b are distinct vertices, then the tournaments M and on the sets $\{x : a, b \rightarrow x\}$, $\{x : x \rightarrow a, b\}$, $\{x : a \rightarrow x \rightarrow b\}$ and $\{x : b \rightarrow x \rightarrow a\}$ are all regular, so all of odd size.
5. The sum of four odd numbers +2 is even, contradicting (3)!